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Summary
In their seminal paper on inclusive foresight Loveridge and Street argue that the credibility of FTA is dependent on extending participation to social stakeholders, especially those not normally seeking participation themselves. They suggest that specific management and process principles will enable such an extension into the social sphere, without the process becoming chaotic. A still understudied aspect of inclusive foresight however, is the question: How are these inclusion procedures publically and politically legitimised, other than by the continual demand for more genuine democratic decision-making?

The paper draws on fieldwork studies of a Norwegian municipal vision project conducted in 2006 which included young people between 14 and 19 years of age to contribute with their ideas about their community in 2020. To legitimise this inclusion as an appropriate step towards communicative and adaptive planning the vision project leadership constructed a specific image of young people explaining their participatory potential and arguing for their authenticity as important social stakeholders. I apply the term stakeholder image construction to describe a pre-defined process in which a social group is associated with seemingly inherent characteristics, including social, cognitive and political dimensions. How did this specific image of young people influence their contributions to the vision project and the resulting visions included in the planning documents? By creating a specific image of young people, the project leadership resolved issues of stakeholder interests and futures literacy prior to participation and contributions. The paper will discuss this process in detail and point out the emerging problems related to creating a shared and desired vision for a municipality.

I want to argue that constructing a desired image of specific stakeholders predefines considerably their potential as participants and the scope of their contributions in visioning projects. The paper will conclude with a discussion of how stakeholder image construction poses questions of power relationships in governance and inclusive foresight.
1 Introduction

This paper addresses the theme of Future-Oriented Technology Analysis (FTA) and equity in the area of stakeholder participation in governance. I will discuss the case of a vision-building exercise in a local long-term planning project. The case at hand illustrates the challenges posed by stakeholder participation in ‘Inclusive Foresight’. The term Inclusive Foresight was coined by Loveridge and Street who argue that the credibility of Foresight depends on extending participation to social stakeholders, especially those not normally seeking participation themselves. They suggest that specific process management and principles will enable such an extension into the social sphere, without causing the process to become chaotic. A still understudied aspect of inclusive Foresight however, is how these inclusion procedures are publically and politically legitimized, except with reference to continual demand for more genuinely democratic decision-making. I want to contribute to the discussion by focusing on the way a particular social group was invited to participate in a vision project, and provide an answer to the question: What does the practical reality of stakeholder participation in a future-oriented activity look like?

Foresight is among the most widespread and accepted forms of organised future-oriented activities today. As a widely applied method for dialogical future thinking, Foresight comes in many shapes and sizes. Current discussions of Foresight highlight the need for a trade-off between a workable common understanding of generic features and contingent national, trans-national and organisational issues. Public sector institutions within health services, energy, transport or local government, acknowledge the demand for democratic dialogue about the future with affected parties and interest groups. There is an increasingly participatory dialogue about the future between organisations and various social groups, such as knowledge workers (including experts), stakeholders, and users of public services. In its ideal form, Foresight today integrates long-term planning, multi-stakeholder dialogue and the idea of shaping the future by influencing public policy and strategic decisions.

This paper will focus on how stakeholder participation was socially and politically legitimised by a municipal government and the municipal employees responsible for the project. Loveridge and Street describe stakeholders as ‘individuals or groups which can be affected by and/or affect an organisation and its activities’. They can be organisations or governmental entities who have a stake in or may be impacted by a given approach to policy making, as for example in environmental regulation or energy conservation. They can also be organisations or political entities with predefined power or influence in the project. The stakeholders in this particular case were participants in a communal effort, young people between 14 and 19 years of age. To underline the importance of their participation in the vision-building project, the project leadership drew upon a specific image of young people as stakeholders in municipal development. The question I will focus on here is: How did this particular image of young people as stakeholders influence their involvement and the final results of their participation in the project?

The idea of an ‘image’ of stakeholders is here not used in a managerial sense, as in the image of an organisation or firm which continuously has to be updated and refined in order to attract the desired target group of customers. However, similar to Fombrun’s definition of organisational image, I relate ‘image’ to seemingly inherent characteristics, including socio-economic, cognitive and political dimensions we associate with a specific social group. Whereas Fombrun discusses how a company should take care of its image in order to
communicate effectively with its stakeholders, here I discuss what image an organisation might create of its stakeholders to legitimise its strategic choices. This specific case of municipal visioning illustrates how an image of young people was created in order to endow them with stakeholder characteristics that fit the objectives of the vision project.

1.1 Relevance of case study

What picture do we have young people between 14 and 19 years of age? What results can we expect from their participation in a visioning project in terms of knowledge, perspective or future literacy? There are various examples of recent national endeavours to encourage participation of young people, as the “Young Foresight” programme for schools in the UK, the German Futur project, and most recently ‘Jugend denkt Zukunft’, a country wide cooperation programme between German businesses and schools. One might argue that many people and organisations share a similar idea of this particular social group and their participatory potential. The present case of a Norwegian municipal vision project points to the often implicitly assumed shared understanding of who young people are and how their participation in Foresight can contribute to its success. It is not the aim of this paper to discuss whether this image is wrong. More important, I want to highlight how such an image creation can influence the participatory potential of social groups up to the point where we might question the added value of their contributions. In regard to Foresight this might be particularly relevant when the goal is to create a shared and desired picture of the future, as is the case in municipal visioning projects.

1.2 Visioning in the context of FTA

How should visioning be understood in the context of Foresight practices? According to the Foresight Online Guide published by the European Commission’s Joint Research Centre (JRC) ‘a vision is an imagined representation or a shared picture of the (usually desired) future’. The World Future Society, an international organisation propagating Foresight, describes visioning as ‘the process of creating a series of images or visions of the future that are real and compelling enough to motivate and guide people toward focusing their efforts on achieving certain goals’ (ibid). Visions as desired images of the future can be the result of a range of different Foresight processes; for example in the context of regional, national and trans-national development programmes. Visioning as an activity, however, differs from other Foresight methods, such as scenario planning. In FTA literature and the ‘Prospective’ approach, visioning is part of a more complex process, involving not only the creation of a desired future picture, but also preceding steps, such as understanding past and present, and exploring the future in different scenarios. In the literature on municipal planning, visioning is regarded as a separate method, as a more direct process of establishing a desired vision of a communal future not necessarily based on different future scenarios.

This latter approach is politically crucial for public organisations trying to develop policy and long-term thinking. Policy makers in public sector planning are often more interested in the scenario approach, in exploring different possible futures and understanding future risks. Ling writes that ‘All policy makers are expected to think about the risks associated with a policy and how these might best be managed’. Yet the uncertainty of different scenarios is also seen as ‘politically weak and administratively untidy’ as they rarely point unequivocally to one course of action. Visioning here has the clear advantage of concentrating on creating a shared and desired picture of the future from the very beginning of the process. This, however, emphasises questions such as to what extent stakeholders should be involved in the process, which ideas of
the future should be labelled as ‘desired’ and how the resulting visions should be used in the planning context.

As pointed out by Shipley there was virtually no mentioning of visioning as a collective activity within the planning profession before 1990. After that, planners began to talk about ‘community visioning’ as a new method of ‘soliciting stakeholder input for the creation of collective plans.’ The rather scarce literature on municipal visioning has been criticised for its lack of a consistent theory or method. The term ‘visioning’ itself has been characterised as being ‘so vague in practise that it sometimes runs the risk of being rendered meaningless’. There is apparently a need for further investigation into the concrete practises of visioning, especially in the context of local long-term planning, such as in urban and municipal development.

1.3 Theoretical background

This paper is inspired by three research areas addressing Foresight as a socio-political phenomenon around the millennial turn. Scholars of science and technology studies (STS) have called attention to the specific qualities of Foresight, arguing that its practices point to certain ways of framing and rationalising the future. They have pointed out that from an STS point of view Foresight practices are not so much about looking into the future, but looking at the future: ‘Our purpose is to shift the discussion from looking into the future to looking at how the future as a temporal abstraction is constructed and managed, by whom and under what circumstances’. They emphasise that future negotiating processes have to be explored according to how they are performed instead of looking at them as mere problem-solving tools for more prudent strategic decision making.

A growing research area of STS, called Sociology of expectations, analyses Foresight practises as structured around expectations and promises in technology, science and innovation. Expectations embrace both the possible, probable and the highly unlikely, and thus address the uncertainty of the future. Scholars of organisation theories, however, have questioned the direct influence of scientific expectations and technological promises on strategic development of organisations. They have studied Foresight in the context of organisational identities and the ways individuals fulfil identities and follow rules and procedures. They argue that organisations do not necessarily follow a straight and rational logic of techno-scientific expectations and promises. These authors advocate a more cautious approach to the importance of Foresight as a strategic tool for policy and decision-making by emphasising the complexity of organising and organisations.

Thirdly, my discussion of stakeholder image construction in Foresight is inspired by the current discussions of issues of reflexivity in social theory, as a form of governance, in designing Foresight processes and as a critical tool in qualitative research. Reflexivity is a broad concept, with roots in philosophical, literary, and social as well as natural science discourses. In the context of future orientation, reflexivity has evolved from an understanding of human practice as described by Garfinkel in the 1960s via a social theory of modernity introduced by among others Giddens and Beck, towards an understanding of good practises of governance, adaptive planning and Foresight methodology and practise. Most literature today sees reflexivity as a positive value in itself, a practise to aspire to and to be followed by social institutions. Beck, Bonss and Lau refer to ‘reflexive social institutions’ as central agents charged with the responsibility to make ‘reasonable decisions about the future ... in a world that is, in some respects, literally boundless’. Foresight is thus a coordinated response to uncertainty and risk. Giddens argued that the ‘popularity of futurology in the system of high modernity is not an
eccentric preoccupation … but signals a recognition that the consideration of counterfactual possibilities is intrinsic to reflexivity in the context of risk assessment and evaluation’.23

Foresight is thus an expression of the constant self-monitoring of social institutions, their ability to address present and future issues and to act responsibly in a changing environment. Less attention, however, is given to the paradoxical aspects of reflexive knowledge in its relation to expectations and the organisation of the future, as highlighted by Giddens. How do we mobilise current knowledge for future-oriented activities and expectations about future development? Giddens argues that our present knowledge about social institutions and relations between social actors relates to existing structures and could limit our openness to new insights. Thus reflexive knowledge might in the end confound our expectations. Therefore we might need a broader understanding of reflexivity in Foresight as containing both enabling and constraining features, a discussion I have developed elsewhere.24

1.4 Methodology

My research on this particular visioning project in a Norwegian municipality is part of my PhD project studying different cases of Foresight in the public sector. I established contact with the relevant actors in the municipality’s administration, conducted interviews with schoolteachers, politicians, workshop participants and Foresight practitioners. The visioning project took place in school lessons, student meetings, workshops conducted with additional participants, internal meetings of the department responsible of the project and open hearings in the community council. My empirical study is based on 34 hours of in-depth interviews and fieldwork observations in those various settings. The goal was to follow the project in the different social settings and to collect ‘relevant ethnographic moments’ during the seven months of project period. I chose ethnographic moments which were ‘indicative of dissonance’,25 highlighting ‘contesting values or problematic social changes of some kind’.26 This paper is based on the collection of specific moments in which the idea of assumed shared values collided with the ideal of democratic participation. For a more comprehensive discussion on methodology used in this study, see Jenssen (2008).

The final visions produced by this project draw on about 300 ideas collected from pupils and students at nine municipal schools. The following discussion of how an image of young people as stakeholders and participants was constructed by the visioning project leadership touches on possibilities and limits of inclusive Foresight in municipal planning processes and explores the contextual challenges of communicative planning tools as democratic instruments.27

2 Stakeholder image construction

The municipality of Lundal28 is neighbour to the Norwegian capital Oslo and one of the richest municipalities in Norway. In September 2005, the municipal administration conducted a survey measuring their inhabitants’ satisfaction with the community services provided. The results of the survey showed an overall satisfying result, except for one group of inhabitants, young people between 14 and 19 years of age. According to the survey this social group was least satisfied with communal offers for social and cultural engagement. Therefore, the municipality leadership decided to focus more deliberately on the needs of young people. Among the activities suggested by the community council was the idea expressed by the mayor of Lundal: All pupils and students in secondary schools and colleges should be invited to participate in a vision project organised by the municipality to create a desired picture of their community in 2020.
2.1 Requested vision: a desired future picture of Lundal

The visioning project was organised by a project group consisting of one of Lundal’s municipal administration employees and two teachers representing secondary schools and colleges. The resulting visions were planned to be part of the revised municipal long-term plan regarding social development of the community (2006-2020). The precondition for the result was to produce a vision of Lundal which was clearly situated within the realm of the possible and desired (Illustration 1). The illustration shows that within this space of possible development, three broader areas are shaded in different colours, pointing towards a relative flexibility in the spatial definition of what was within the accepted possible development. Yet these three areas are already situated around one central dotted line which leads straight to the desired future picture, a rather narrow frame with a picture entitled ‘Lundal’. For the figure standing at the left end of the dotted line, there is only one road to follow. A similar illustration used in the project presentation showed several figures without the orientation symbolised by the future picture in front of them. They were wandering in all directions; some of them stranded even outside the possibility space, indicating that without a clear vision of the future long-term planning would only lead to chaos and a waste of time and resources.

Illustration 1: The future picture of Lundal was clearly situated within the possible and desirable. From power point presentation by project leadership, March 2006.

The participation of the young people and their contributions were considered important for how this future picture should be developed. At the same time, the municipal project leadership clarified early on how their contributions would be handled in the context of municipal planning. The project proposal written by the municipal administrative leadership underlined the powerful position of the political representatives in the vision project:

The social part of the municipality plan is characterised by long-term planning including a broad social approach and substantiation of those visions and goals which the community council desires for the development of society. In order to obtain operative power the visions and goals should be embedded on all levels of the municipality plan.29
Thus the resulting visions were already defined as those desired by the political leadership of the municipality of Lundal. Similarly, the process of creating the final vision was also defined as being guided by the interests of the political representatives, both as contributors to the future pictures, and as the ultimate recipients of a vision proposal:

The head of administration proposes that suggestions are collected from young people at secondary schools and colleges to structure the future pictures. These future pictures will be discussed and supplied with suggestions from other actors and where the politicians would like to be represented before the head of administration writes a proposal for visions and goals which will be discussed in the community council.30

With those clear definitions regarding process and result already in place, one might expect the participation of the young people to be relatively open and inclusive. The following data, however, shows that the collection of young people’s contributions was preceded by the construction of a specific image of them as stakeholders. This construction was partly a way to agree on how young people should be regarded as a social group, and partly to control the output, i.e. their contributions to the vision project. I want to argue that this specific image construction of young people as stakeholders points towards a dilemma of inclusive Foresight which cannot be rectified by specific management and process principles. It arises when social stakeholders are made participants in a Foresight process aiming at producing one desired vision of the future.

2.2 Social-economic, cognitive and political stakeholder image construction

The initial justification for involving young people in the vision project and giving priority to their ideas about Lundal’s future was expressed by the community council in September 2005: ‘The young people are the future; therefore they should be involved in discussing it.’ Another argument used during the council meeting was that the young people of today would spend most of their lives in Lundal. Defining young people as embodying the future made them important stakeholders in the further development of an already wealthy community.

One important aspect of creating an image of young people as primary stakeholders was their social and economic position within the community. During several presentations of the project, the project leader showed an illustration figuring predators fighting over the right to decide area planning in Germany’s capital Berlin (Illustration 2). ‘Why the young people?’ the presentation asked. The presenting project leader argued that the young lack ‘intensity and aggression’ associated with the strongest driving forces in future decision making. They were assumed to have no capitalist aspirations. This would be an advantageous precondition for developing their future ideas.
Thus a specific social-economic image of young people as stakeholders was indispensable for their participation. The assumed lack of spatial and economic interests, however, was also accompanied by a request expressed by the municipal project leadership:

The community council chairmanship of Lundal would like suggestions from the community’s school children for the rollover of the social part of the municipality plan. This means that this time the focus is on areas of action which address the well-being of the citizens and less physical projects to be conducted. It is the ideas about what we should put effort into which are the most important and these ideas might result in physical projects which the municipality can analyse later.\(^{31}\)

The image of young people as being free from capitalist motives was coupled with a clear request to leave out ideas about physical future projects. Apparently the assumed absence of capitalist interests was not enough to direct the young people’s ideas in the desired direction. To avoid political discussions about unrealistic use of municipal areas resulting from the young people’s suggestions, they were asked to focus on the ‘well-being of citizens’ and on ideas about what ‘we should put effort into.’ In order to do that, they were asked to talk to their parents, neighbours and friends, thus adapting the knowledge of other, more informed social groups to create their ‘own’ ideas.

Another precondition was a request to imagine themselves as grownups. They were asked to use their estimated age of about thirty years in 2020 as a starting point for their visionary ideas.\(^{32}\) Although as stakeholders their social definition as ‘young people’ was essential for their participations, when it came to their contributions their present social status was not what the project leaders were interested in. They explained that ideas taking their starting point in the young people’s present situation might result in enumerations of their daily needs and desires and not be connected to an idea of their community in the future.\(^{33}\)
Furthermore, the project leadership presumed the children’s ideas to be unstructured and nonreflective, essentially *mirroring* their hopes, beliefs and concerns about the future. Therefore the contributions were to be developed into short stories, using expected trends in municipal development coupled with the young people’s ideas. The finished stories were then to be discussed in workshops, one with participants from different service offices of the municipality and one with politicians. The most desired future pictures should lead to one collective vision. The project group suggested the following design:

1) Broad collection of contributions from young people in schools

2) Structuring and writing of future pictures

3) Broader definition of future pictures through participation of other actors

4) Discussion and selection of future pictures in political bodies

5) Final future pictures to inform a vision for the municipality plan

Illustration 3: The design of the municipal vision project according to the project group, February 2006

The young students’ ideas would thus be the initial creative input to the future pictures which would lead to a desired vision of Lundal. Nevertheless, they were undergoing a systematic revision process conducted by the project leader, who emphasised that ‘everything too fantastic will be removed’.

2.3 *Result and outcome of the vision project*

FTA AND EQUITY: NEW APPROACHES TO GOVERNANCE
According to the municipality’s official project report, nine out of 20 secondary schools and colleges participated, with a reported outcome of 300 different ideas. Several topics were being repeated among the young people, such as improved care for the elderly, improved child welfare and improved health care. The municipal project leader ordered the ideas collected from the schools systematically and created three future pictures, adding survey data and material from other sources. These future pictures were then presented in a workshop with communal and cultural organisations to discuss which of these were most desirable. Some grown up participants criticised the future pictures for being too rosy and promising. They questioned the absence of reflexive and critical inquiry into these future pictures and warned about the possible alienating effects such visions could have on social groups already living on the fringes of society. Politicians on the other hand, expressed surprise at how similar the young people’s ideas were to their own political party programmes. The project leader commented on these aspects at the end of the vision project:

I cannot claim that there have been many revolutionary ideas. But this is the way society works; we are not supposed to come up with revolutionary ideas all the time. I am not sure about this, but I am of the opinion that development in a municipality is not suited to especially deep reflection concerning all consequences… Once we have discussed financial conditions, time and the political premises for such processes, it is difficult to imagine deeper analyses of possible visions. Therefore we rather call it future pictures, or scenario seeds, which could be developed further if one wishes to do so.

Although the future ideas were presented as being entirely created by the young, the resulting visions were not included into the long-term municipality plan. Instead, the politicians suggested that the future pictures should be used by the young people’s community council (YPCC). This is an organisation consisting of pupils’ representatives from all secondary schools and colleges in the municipality and was founded to inspire pupils and students to engage in social and political debate. The municipal leadership suggested that the YPCC could use these future pictures as a basis for their own visions, values and goals. The vision project leader later explained in an interview that there were several reasons why the politicians refused to discuss or agree on a desired vision, for instance upcoming municipal elections. Instead of contributing to the organisational knowledge and attaining operative power in the municipality plan, the future visions were ‘redirected’ to being used by the young people themselves, arguably with less political and operative impact.

3 Discussion

3.1 Stakeholder image construction: from authority to authenticity

This specific case of a municipal vision project is not about the involvement of authoritative experts in Foresight. According to the textbook on Foresight methodologies (UNIDO 2004) ‘expert panels should not stray into the realms of wishful thinking’ – their analyses and recommendations need to be based upon sound data of the past and present, as well projections of those trends that can be projected with reasonable confidence of accuracy, i.e. demographic change’. Further, this particular case is not an illustration of what Loveridge and Street discuss as ‘inclusivity in technology foresight studies’ in which ‘experts and non-experts regard each other as equal but with different agendas and capabilities each needs to seek to understand’. The stakeholders described in this case are also not sponsors of the exercise or ‘organisations that might be expected to act in the light of the exercise’s findings’.
This case addresses what Loveridge and Street call the ‘third question’ of Foresight. They argue that Foresight has for too long concentrated on ‘What is possible?’ and ‘What is feasible?’ and should now be concerned with the question ‘What is desirable?’ In the case of the Lundal vision project, long-term social planning was intended to be influenced by young people between 14 and 19 years of age. Most of those belonging to this age group have no right to vote nor are they entitled to exercise influence as individuals on public policy making in any other form. Their life experiences with public health and welfare are still rather limited compared to older social groups. In both respects they can be seen as counterparts to the usually implied preconditions for any participation in a future-oriented activity: participants should possess some type of knowledge, if not expertise then lay knowledge about a specific area, defining them as non-experts, or they should be able to influence the activities of an organisation. Although the young people did not represent either of those categories, they were still invited by the municipal leadership to participate in this project as legitimate members of their community, holding a stake in the further development of their closest surroundings.

The participation of young people as stakeholders in the vision project is an example of a wider legitimation movement which does not base stakeholder participation on authority but on authenticity. Their genuine position as young people in the community was the starting point for politicians, administrative leaders and project organisers, from which they constructed an image of this group as authentic stakeholders regardless of their knowledge or possibilities to influence political decision-making. They were described as embodying the future, living their future lives in the community, and possessing no capitalist interests (Table 1). Interestingly, however, this image construction as authentic stakeholders was not enough to define their role as participants. The municipal project organisers had to ensure that the contributions were in line with the desired outcome: no juvenile wishes and demands connected to the present, no ideas about physical projects, only ideas about social well-being and a clear dissociation from too fantastic notions. Through both image construction and the pre-processual framing of contributions, the project leaders thus ensured that the future ideas contributed by the young were well within the limits of the possible and the desirable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areas</th>
<th>Pre-definitions as stakeholders</th>
<th>Pre-conditions for their contributions as participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive</td>
<td>Young people are the future</td>
<td>Imagine yourselves as grown-ups in 2020!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>Living their future lives in Lundal</td>
<td>Concentrate on issues of well-being in society! Ask your parents and neighbours!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic</td>
<td>No capitalist interests</td>
<td>No ideas about physical projects!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political</td>
<td>Their future ideas are basically unstructured and non-reflexive</td>
<td>The contributions would need narrative structure and other actors’ input – too fantastic ideas will be erased!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: From stakeholder to participant – how the young people were defined as authentic stakeholders and their contributions framed by specific pre-conditions.
3.2 Visioning at the service of “good”

This is how experts on Foresight in urban development see the potential value of future-oriented activities within community planning:

Maybe the real value of a futures approach in the field of city planning is not in discovering new factual knowledge about sustainable urban development, but in producing perceptions and insights to that body of knowledge and ‘imagineering’ novel ways of addressing city sustainability.\textsuperscript{43}

This idea fits both the objectives of municipal visioning, and the approach proposed within inclusive Foresight as giving room to lay knowledge and inclusive, non-expert participation. It also follows up on the idea of participation not based upon knowledge-founded authority, but purely on representing an authentic social group, contributing perspectives and insights different from all the others. This was the original intention expressed by the municipal leadership to explain why the young people were asked to participate in this vision project.

As I have tried to show in my presentation of Lundal’s vision project, this is not the whole truth about this particular story. Here additional performances by the project leaders were needed to ensure a desired outcome of the project. Mainly they asked the young people to contribute with ideas matching their image as stakeholders already constructed. This case illustrates thus not only challenges of inclusive Foresight taken up by Loveridge and Street, but also much wider problems regarding good governance, long-term planning, democracy and decision-making.\textsuperscript{44} If the young were authentic stakeholders, what perspectives and insights were they to contribute to the project? The case shows that their perspectives were already pre-defined. The project leaders might have been a bit hasty in declaring their contributions as nonreflective and unstructured. According to project members participating in school lessons at one college in Lundal, at least the older among this age group reflected upon what their own ideas about the future might be, based on the knowledge acquired by asking parents, friends and neighbours.\textsuperscript{45} Nevertheless, the scope of their possible contributions was clearly limited through the preconditions they received from the project leadership.

Recently Norwegian scholars of planning theory have argued that there is little use in trying to separate communication and power in planning processes: ‘Communication is always characterised by the protection of interests and not by individual freedom or the search for consensus’.\textsuperscript{46} They argue that one of the problems municipal planning is confronted with is the frequently assumed existence of universal values which everybody can agree upon. These universal values are being included in laws and regulations on planning procedures with no further reflections upon what are the good values and whether planning should be an activity at the service of ‘good’.\textsuperscript{47} Foresight practices are often based on the assumption of shared values beyond dispute. This becomes a power-related issue when organisations intend to create one desired vision of the future. The case discussed here illustrates that power in such processes has to be seen as relational rather than hierarchical:

The exercise of power is not simply a relationship between partners, individual or collective; it is a way in which certain actions modify others… Power exists only when it is put into action.\textsuperscript{48}

The administrative and political leadership’s construction of a specific stakeholder image and the preconditioning of the young people’s contributions is a good example of exactly this performative way of exercising rhetoric and representative power in a municipal planning process.
4 Conclusion

FTA belongs to the tool-box for achieving good and reflexive governance and thus strengthening the participatory and future-oriented aspects of governing. The challenge of current approaches to FTA methods and processes for governance, however, is the prevailing clear distinction between areas of governance as steering and decision-shaping and of politics as decision-making. FTA as well as governance is often seen as consisting of networking and process-oriented elements of governing rather than its antagonistic, power-related ones. Literature on governance sometimes questions this distinction, premising that both governance and politics involve aspects of power. Since FTA methods and practices are always part of an organisational setting, local, sectorial, regional or otherwise, they are involved in the practical reality of political and social agents competing for the right to represent future developments. This paper has attempted to start a discussion about the relationship between Foresight practises and the democratic challenges of good governance and long-term planning.

Stakeholder image construction is a political issue in Foresight. In the case of Lundal’s visioning project, the contribution of young people eventually mirrored existing political programmes. The final question is: How could this be different? If municipal visioning is supposed to produce a shared picture of the desired future, it cannot be too far away from the municipality’s idea of the future. Otherwise they cannot share it.
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